

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/615,659	07/09/2003	John N. Feder	D0283 NP	6678
23914	7590 08/04/2005		EXAM	INER
STEPHEN :	B. DAVIS IYERS SQUIBB COM	MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1653	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,659	FEDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Maryam Monshipouri					
Period for Reply	, ,	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT rute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ The	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· , ———————————————————————————————————					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/615,659

Art Unit: 1653

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 14-17, drawn to isolated nucleic acid encoding a testis specific tyrosine ligase-like protein (BGS42), classified in class 435, subclass 183.
- II. Claims 5-6, 10 and 18, drawn to said protein, classified in class 435 subclass 183.
- III. Claim 7, drawn to antibodies which bind said protein, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- IV. Claims 11 and 20, drawn to methods of treatment using said protein, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1.
- V. Claims 12-13 and 19, drawn to methods of diagnosing a disease using
 DNA, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The DNA of Group I, the polypeptide of Group II and the antibodies of Group III are patentably distinct each from the other because each invention is directed to a product of unrelated chemical structure and function.

The DNA of Group I is unrelated to the method of Group IV because said invention is neither made nor used by said method.

Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

Application/Control Number: 10/615,659

Art Unit: 1653

using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the DNA of Group I may be used in recombinant expression of said protein which is a totally different method than that of Group V.

The polypeptide of Group II is unrelated to the method of Group V because said invention is neither made nor used by said method.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of Group II may be used in antibody preparation which is a totally different method than that of Group IV.

The antibody of Group II is unrelated to any of the methods of Groups IV and V because said invention is neither made nor used by any of said methods.

The methods of Groups IV and V are patentably distinct because each method has different steps and different end-points.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. S.C. D' Amico on 8/2/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,659

Art Unit: 1653

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or other wise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provision of MPEP section 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and he rejoined process will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104, Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined clams must meet all the criteria for patentability including the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an alerted product claims is

Application/Control Number: 10/615,659 Page 5

Art Unit: 1653

found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined, See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. section 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86(March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include limitations of the product claim. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP section 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maryam Monshipouri whose telephone number is (571) 272-0932. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m to 4:30 p.m. except for alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weber Jon P. can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,659 Page 6

Art Unit: 1653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maryam Monshipouri Ph.D.

Primary Examiner